Amendment One Opposition Statement

Statement of Opposition to Resolution: Rescinding Amendment 43, Addressing Maintenance of Undeveloped Lots.

This proposal should be rejected. We do not oppose the purpose or the intent of this proposed amendment, the only opposition results from how the amendment is written: the language needs to be more precise. Rulemaking is inherently difficult, as the author of this amendment captures perfectly in the "reason to rescind" section. Vague language makes a rule difficult to enforce and is often reason enough to render a rule unenforceable. If the intent of this proposed amendment is to remove Article X: 10.10.03 from the CC&Rs, then we have no opposition to a future amendment that says exactly that. The issue we have with this proposed amendment is that the author has also proposed to "revert the Guidelines for Maintenance of Undeveloped lots to the previous guidelines." Which previous guidelines? Was there language in Article X previously that the author wants reinstated? Or, does the author simply propose returning Article X back to the way it used to be before 10.10.03 was added, by removing it? Because this is unclear, this proposed amendment should be rejected.

-Ciaran Brennan and David Moon, Opposition Committee